Downloaded by: lucas 8/6/2025 6:59:54 AM





Dr. Murrey Report 6 August 2025

Free Speech

/

Why Do Facebook "Are We Dating The Same Guy?" ("AWDTSG") Members And Their Attorneys Seek To Deny Us Our Basic Constitutional Rights?

In 2023 I obtained evidence of doxxing, defamation and cyberbullying of my person on Facebook "Are We Dating The Same Guy?"

Downloaded by: lucas 8/6/2025 6:59:54 AM

("AWDTSG") forums.¹ I tried to join these Facebook groups to defend myself. But <u>anonymous</u> Facebook users refused to let me join. I contacted Facebook. Facebook failed to respond. I filed LAPD and FBI reports. Eventually ALL the comments were deleted. Then I initiated the first of three lawsuits I currently have pending against Facebook and several AWDTSG members for said doxxing, defamation, cyberbullying etc. (LASC case no.s 23STCV14890, 24STCV16656 and 25STCV02792).

Evidence shows that Facebook and these AWDTSG forums exist to control and harm us people. Facebook AWDTSG users operate in the shadows to evade the justice they deserve for their electronic crimes. Only those capable of abandoning common sense would think these groups are genuine "public forums". How can a group be public, if it refuses some their right to free speech? How can a forum be public when it is maliciously secretive and exclusive?

Facebook users' mask their heinously savage behavior by selling the obvious lie that Facebook AWDTSG forums identify bad actors to protect women. This is the excuse they give to unlawfully stalk and doxx us: just another "social" corridor of the surveillance state.

Although there are mountains of evidence that Facebook unlawfully targets us, one interesting symptom relevant to my situation is the erratic, non-organic nature of Facebook AWDTSG members. One AWDTSG member Lena Vanderford doxxed, defamed and cyberbullied me with hundreds of other Facebook users in 2022. Her excuse: she states that I refused to meet her for an 8:00AM café in 2016. But Vanderford has no evidence that this *tuché* occurred.

This made me wonder, who are these evil and secretive Facebook users? Why am I the lucky recipient of their cyber-psychopathy? Then I thought: unlike them, I actually have a right to research and investigate these Facebook terrorists...

¹ I have too much self-respect to be an attorney. This is not legal advice.

Downloaded by: lucas 8/6/2025 6:59:54 AM

Opposite Facebook and AWDTSG/Spill The Tea, I am interested in genuine public debate. This is why I refuse to engage, with much less take serious, anyone acting anonymous online. Anonymity is where angry mafia-losers live.

In the hope of creating a more transparent debate, I published an update on https://sickoscoop.com/ of my cases on 16 July 2025.²

In my 16 July 2025 update I included pictures of public information that defendants had themselves already published. None of them had ever filed any motions to seal said information, though it had been available in public court documents for months, if not years. This is not doxxing. All this information had already been made public by the defendants themselves. Further, the new doxxing law in California: civil code § 1708.89 does not apply to people who provide personal information when reporting criminal activity to law enforcement or disseminating information about reasonably believed unlawful conduct. Since these defendants committed serious electronic crimes against my person, I had every right to disseminate information about their unlawful conduct. This includes Facebook AWDTSG member Lena Vanderford, whose anti-SLAPP motion in my 2023 case against her and other Facebook AWDTSG members failed.

Because Facebook AWDTSG members and their supporters hate the United States constitution, Vanderford and her attorneys Marshall Searcy and Shashank Sirivolu of the Los Angeles law firm Quinn, Emanuel, Urguhart & Sullivan movied quickly to deny me my right to free speech, in particular my right to identify my accuser Vanderford and her counsel. Their heinously hypocritical strategy: they falsely alleged that I had doxxed Vanderford and that she was a victim in need of an immediate temporary restraining order wherein I could never refer to her nor even her attorneys Searcy and Sirivolu – and

media.sfo2.digitaloceanspaces.com/pdf/68663684f9279ee89580cb1f/1754457937795-6bmugm-DrMurreyReport16July25.pdf

² https://sickoscoop-

Downloaded by: lucas 8/6/2025 6:59:54 AM



that this temporary order should quickly become permanent.³ It did not matter that I was the true victim of this Facebook AWDTSG member Vanderford and not the other way around. Interestingly, it was not about women v. men. After all, Vanderford's two attorneys are male.

I opposed their improperly served and grossly unlawful *ex parte* application, pointing out that, among other things, if Facebook AWDTSG member Vanderford, Searcy and Sirivolu sought such injunctive relief, then they should have filed a restraining order petition in a separate court.⁴ The judge of this matter, Mr. Michael Shultz, nevertheless granted their TRO.⁵

Then I thought to myself: unlike Vanderford I have actually been harmed; I actually have cause for such a TRO against countless aggressors. Therefore, why should I not seek and obtain the same relief that this Facebook AWDTSG member obtained? After all, Vanderford, Searcy and Sirivolu were granted temporary legal relief, despite the fact that Vanderford was the original aggressor and she had never been doxxed.

I filed my own *ex parte* application against Vanderford.⁶ Being asymmetrical and perverted in nature, Vanderford's counsel Searcy and Sirivolu passionately opposed my application.⁷ In my reply I

media.sfo2.digitaloceanspaces.com/pdf/68663684f9279ee89580cb1f/17544606 08042-snhkie-1.pdf

media.sfo2.digitaloceanspaces.com/pdf/68663684f9279ee89580cb1f/17544608 38143-tkdl6i-2.pdf

media.sfo2.digitaloceanspaces.com/pdf/68663684f9279ee89580cb1f/1754461074925-hb1azy-3.pdf

media.sfo2.digitaloceanspaces.com/pdf/68663684f9279ee89580cb1f/175446117 0835-zyjacd-4.pdf

media.sfo2.digitaloceanspaces.com/pdf/68663684f9279ee89580cb1f/1754461811 668-b4q2gf-5.pdf

4

³ https://sickoscoop-

⁴ https://sickoscoop-

⁵ https://sickoscoop-

⁶ https://sickoscoop-

⁷ https://sickoscoop-

Downloaded by: lucas 8/6/2025 6:59:54 AM

further raised the issue as to whether the court had violated my constitutional right of free speech according to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 under color of state law.⁸

What happened next was shocking – and shockingly good – for all of us.

Judge Shultz refused my application, but only because he had begun to re-think his original determination. Specifically, Shultz had second thoughts about his original TRO restraining my right to free speech. He ordered both parties to respond to a new OSC re: whether or not his recent TRO should not be vacated and/or quashed.⁹ As expected, I supported the judge's reflection¹⁰ while Vanderford, Searcy and Sirivolu passionately disagreed.¹¹

Yesterday the court decided that the TRO limiting my freedom of speech regarding Facebook AWDTSG member Vanderford and her counsel should not have been issued and was vacated. This is why I can share the above-noted facts and names of this story of my fight against anonymous Facebook AWDTSG users and their supporters with you today. I find this to be a vital win in regard to the preservation of free speech: the very same freedom of speech that Facebook and its AWDTSG/Spill The Tea forums seek to destroy. Properly used, free

media.sfo2.digitaloceanspaces.com/pdf/68663684f9279ee89580cb1f/1754462175895-ftq28r-6.pdf

media.sfo2.digitaloceanspaces.com/pdf/68663684f9279ee89580cb1f/1754462317163-sc6v4-7.pdf

media.sfo2.digitaloceanspaces.com/pdf/68663684f9279ee89580cb1f/175446238 6039-nv26ha-8.pdf

media.sfo2.digitaloceanspaces.com/pdf/68663684f9279ee89580cb1f/1754462458562-unwd2k-9.pdf

media.sfo2.digitaloceanspaces.com/pdf/68663684f9279ee89580cb1f/1754462601408-moy8q8-10.pdf

⁸ https://sickoscoop-

⁹ https://sickoscoop-

¹⁰ https://sickoscoop-

¹¹ https://sickoscoop-

¹² https://sickoscoop-

Downloaded by: lucas 8/6/2025 6:59:54 AM

speech cleanses society of malicious secrets and darkness. It moves us collectively to transparency and light.

But what do you think about this recent spasm of legal papers? In the interest of a genuine public debate from which no one, not even Facebook AWDTSG/Spill The Tea users, are excluded, join me on https://sickoscoop.com/ and exercise your freedom of speech.

Dr. Lucas Murrey

As always, I am thankful for any and all support:

https://www.gofundme.com/f/StopStalkers

https://www.gofundme.com/f/educating-america